

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Examiner:

Group:

Attorney Docket #: 3105

In re:

Applicant(s): MATUSCHEK, J., et al

Serial No.: 10/517,354

Filed: 07/28/2005

AMENDMENT

June 20, 2008

Honorable Commissioner of
Patents and Trademarks
Washington, D.C. 20231

The Office Action of May 7, 2008 has been carefully considered.

Applicant has been required to elect a single species to which the claim shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Applicant has also been required to identify the claims readable on the elected species, including any claims subsequently added.

Applicant respectfully traverses this election requirement.

It is noted that all embodiments include the feature that the functional surfaces are made with a given oversize and have been machined down to a predefined

dimension. This feature is independent upon the question of which bearing elements are used to mount the modules to the carriers (e.g. 30-32 and 50, 51 in Figs 7-10 and 17; 36 in Figs 11 and 12; 39 in Fig 13; 43, 49 in Figs 14-16).

The traversal notwithstanding, applicant provisionally elects for further prosecution Species II shown in Figs 1-6 and 13, and that leaves claims 1-8, 14, 17-19, 20, 21-26 encompass this election.

Prosecution on the merits is now respectfully requested.

Respectfully submitted,



Michael J. Striker
Attorney for Applicant
Reg. No.: 27233
103 East Neck Road
Huntington, New York 11743